



Examiner's Evaluation

Student Name : _____

Matric No. : _____ Programme: _____

Supervisor : _____

Project Title : _____

Instruction: Please rate your student according to the marking criteria below. Fill in column "MARKS" for each criteria with 0/1/2/3/4 (Marks in decimal point is not allowed).

Criteria	CO/PO	Marking Criteria					Weightage w	Mark n	Total Marks (n*w)
		n = 0	n = 1	n = 2	n = 3	n = 4			
Project and design Significance (CH1)	CO3/ PO4	Problem, aim and objectives were not identified and presented	Problem not clearly identified/inaccurate and explanation is too brief, aim and objectives were vague and not addressing forefront in-depth engineering problem	Problem was identified and addressed in a satisfactory manner, aim and objectives were stated and presented forefront in-depth engineering problem in a satisfactory manner	Problem was identified and addressed in a good manner, aim and objectives were stated and presented forefront in-depth engineering problem in a good manner	Problem was clearly addressed, aim and objectives were clearly stated and strongly presented forefront in-depth complex engineering problem	2.5		
Literature review on design and solution (s) (CH2)	CO1/ PO2	Poorly structured review of literature without citation of references or no review of literature at all	The review of literature was not structured in a clear order, and most citation of references was not done properly.	The review of literature was sufficiently structured in a clear order, and some citation of references was not done properly.	The review of literature was structured in a clear order; however, some citation of references was done properly.	The review of literature was structured in a clear order, and citation of references was done critically.	3		
Research gap on design and solution (s) (CH2)	CO3/ PO4	No research gap and problem statement identified from the literature review.	Unclear research gap and problem statement identified from the literature review.	Research gap and problem statement were fairly identified from the literature review.	Research gap and problem statement were satisfactorily identified from the literature review.	Research gap and problem statement were clearly identified from the literature review.	2.5		
References (CH2)	CO1/ PO2	All references were not reliable (100% internet content)	75% of references were not reliable (e.g internet content) and relevant	50% references were not reliable (e.g internet content) and relevant	75% references were apparently reliable (e.g journals), relevant and updated	100% references were reliable (e.g journals), relevant and updated	2		

Investigation of project design or approach (CH3)	CO2/PO3	No conduct of study methods/parameters were provided	Some conduct of study methods/parameters were not outlined and/or without any justifications provided	Conduct of study methods/parameters were fairly outlined and justified.	Conduct of study methods/parameters were satisfactorily outlined and justified	Conduct of study methods/parameters were clearly outlined and justified.	5		
Project outcome (CH4)	CO3/PO4	There was no outcome	Outcomes produced were not meeting the project's objectives	Outcomes are produced in satisfactory manner and meeting some of the project's objectives, supported with data/ product.	Outcomes are produced in good quality and meeting the project's objectives, supported with convincing data/ product.	Outcomes are produced in excellent quality and meeting the project's objectives, supported with convincing data/ product.	2.5		
Data Analysis (CH4)	CO3/PO4	No data analysis included	Trends and data have not been interpreted using any appropriate technique	Some important trends and data have been interpreted using appropriate technique(s) (e.g: statistical analysis) but lack in organization	All important trends and data have been interpreted using appropriate technique(s) (e.g: statistical analysis) but lack in organization.	All important trends and data have been interpreted using appropriate technique(s) (e.g: statistical analysis) and organized in a clear and logical manner.	2.5		
Validity of data (CH4)	CO3/PO4	No validation of result.	Results are inconsistent with the relevant theory for experimental/simulation/modelling study or validated by insufficient experimental/simulation/modelling data for mathematical study.	Some of the results are consistent with the relevant theory for experimental/simulation/modelling study or validated by experimental/simulation/modelling data for mathematical study.	Most results are consistent with the relevant theory for experimental/simulation/modelling study or validated by experimental/simulation/modelling data for mathematical study.	All results are consistent with the relevant theory for experimental/simulation/modelling study or validated by experimental/simulation/modelling data for mathematical study.	2.5		
Discussion/justification (CH4)	CO5/PO11	No discussion is made.	Discussion shows lack understanding of results, and not supported by relevant literature.	Discussion shows reasonable understanding of results, and partially supported by relevant literature.	Discussion shows good understanding of results, and sufficiently supported by relevant literature.	Discussion shows excellent understanding of results, and supported by relevant literature.	2.5		
Conclusion and recommendation (CH5)	CO3/PO4	No conclusion is made.	Conclusions did not meet the specified aim and objectives of the project and/or did not provide appropriate recommendations for future work.	Conclusions are fairly explained, meet some of the specified aim and objectives and suggested sufficient recommendations for future work.	Conclusions are satisfactorily explained, meet some of the specified aim and objectives and suggested sufficient recommendations for future work.	Conclusions are explained clearly, meet the specified aim and objectives and suggested appropriate recommendations for future work	2.5		

Formatting and organisation of report	CO5/PO11	There was no structure of report and the formatting	The report was poorly structured, and the formatting (e.g: font, spacing, labelling of figures and tables, equations numbered and etc) include very substantial and consistent error	The report was structured and formatted (e.g: font, spacing, labelling of figures and tables, equations numbered and etc) in a satisfactory manner	The report was structured and formatted (e.g: font, spacing, labelling of figures and tables, equations numbered and etc) in a good manner	The report was structured in an orderly manner, and the formatting (e.g: font, spacing, labelling of figures and tables, equations numbered and etc) was done properly in accordance to the FYP guidelines	2.5		
Total:							$\Sigma w = 30$	$\Sigma n =$	$\Sigma(n * w) =$
Final Mark : $\left(\frac{\sum (n * w)}{4 \times \sum w} \times 30\% \right) :$									

Comments:

Examined by : _____

Signature : _____

Date : _____